

# TAXONOMY: New Combinations, etc.

*Acharagma galeanense*\* (Haugg) J.Lodé 2017 comb. et stat. nov.

**Basionym:** *Escobaría roseana* ssp. *galeanensis* Haugg, in Kak. u. a. Sukk. 46(3): 76. 1995.

**Type:** Mexico, Nuevo León, near Galeana, 1978, *Haugg* 3134 (MEXU, holotype, WU, isotype).

**Synonyms:** *Acharagma roseanum* subsp. *galeanense*, *Escobaría roseana* subsp. *galeanensis*.

**Etymology:** near Galeana, in Nuevo León, Mexico.

**Notes:** according to Zsolt Elhart (2011), this subspecies does not belong to *roseanum* and should be more related to *A. huasteca*, an opinion which I share: in fact, seeds look very similar.



*Acharagma aguirreanum*



*Acharagma roseanum*



*Acharagma galeanensis*



*Acharagma huasteca*

*Trichocereus sandiensis* (Hoxey) J.Lodé 2017 comb et stat. nov

**Basionym:** *Echinopsis sandiensis* Hoxey, *Bradleya* 34: 195-199 (illustr.). 2016.

**Type:** Perú, Dept. Puno: in the Sandía Valley below Cuyocuyo, 3200 m, *Hoxey* 722.01 (HUSA, holotype).

**Synonyms:** *Echinopsis sandiensis*.

**Etymology:** found in Sandía Valley, Dept. Puno, Perú.

**Notes:** recently described as *Echinopsis* but in a strict sense and in my opinion (Taxonomy of the Cactaceae, vol. 1 & 2, 2015), it has to be considered as a *Trichocereus*, hence this new proposal.